



## APPROACH TO STAFF COMPENSATION

#### Informed by Market

Rates and ranges are based on market

Includes peer institutions, similar jobs, geography

#### Collaborative

Opportunity for department leadership to weigh in on market data and how roles are benchmarked

### Fair & Competitive

Investment to increase starting wages

Competitive for all

#### **Career Progression**

New system not directly tied to annual performance or minor adjustments to role

## e Standard & Simple Re

Easily explainable and applicable to all staff

#### Repeatable

Something we can use this year AND going forward on an annual basis







Role mapped to market and min/max range established

Agnostic to individual

Skill matrix based on ownership and impact drives where individual falls into range Final layer of discretion based on VP/Manager functional expertise

Guardrails in place

## REMINDER: PHILOSOPHY OF THE SKILL MATRIX...

- The skill matrix is a tool to place individuals into the range based on career progression—and, specifically, their level of ownership and impact
- X Elevates a culture of high performance and ability to focus compensation conversations on skills and competencies—questions on how to increase pay can tie back to results and are transparent
- Calibration across the institution on skill matrix—goal is to move people to 'thriving' and have that be at midpoint of grade range
  - Each year, market is reviewed, and ranges may be adjusted—but skill matrix will consistently be tied to percentage into range

FROM APRIL PRESE

	Learning in the role (minimum)	Growing in the role (25%)	Thriving in the role (50%)	Leading in the role (60%)
OWNERSHIP	Requires support and direction Provides consistent delivery of tasks outlined in role	Requires some support while building proactive, independent approach Provides questions and ideas to build in partnership with others	Requires limited oversight and takes initiative in all aspects of portfolio Provides solution focused leadership and delivery	Requires only direction on where the work is going, not how to get there Provides leverage within and across organization
IMPACT	Delivers results with ongoing partnership and support Exhibits openness and willingness to learn	Delivers increasingly consistent results with some support Exhibits emerging expertise and contributions	Delivers strong and reliable results with consistency and limited oversight Exhibits excellent execution on critical projects and priorities	Delivers stellar results, again and again Exhibits the highest level of mastery as an independent, sought-after leader

# SKILL MATRIX: OWNERSHIP & IMPACT



## BY THE NUMBERS

- X 100% of staff within market ranges, or above
- X \$5.2M added to staff compensation in FY23 budget
- X 86% of staff will receive a raise this year
- X **14%** will not—within that group, 48% are above the maximum for their grade range and 52% are already within established range

FROM PREVIOUSLY SHARED MATERIALS



## WE HAVE ALSO GATHERED COMPARATIVE DATA ON FY23 COMPENSATION INCREASES...

Schools included				
Middlebury				
Bates				
Barnard	٦			
Bowdoin	<u>r</u>			
Bryn Mawr				
Bucknell	١			
Carleton				
Claremont McKenna				
Colgate				
Davidson				
Hamilton				
Macalester	A			
Pomona	S			
Sarah Lawrence	k			
Smith	•			
Swarthmore				
Vassar	•			
Washington & Lee	•			
Wellesley				
Wesleyan				
Williams				

This is a <u>different group of comparison schools than the</u> <u>market data we used</u>

We have received feedback that some are concerned that we are not using market data of NESCAC or other similar schools, so this data provides a view into that group

\*\*\*

Additional compensation activity not reflected in following slides within compensation pool percentages (each bullet pelow reflects activity of one individual institution):

- Additional 2.3% for some faculty allocated prior to annual increase
- Staff received across the board increase of +\$800
- All employees received across the board additional increase of Faculty +\$1500 and Staff +\$1000



# Comparison with peer compensation pools show that Middlebury leads in increases for both faculty and staff this year

Middlebury vs. Comparison Schools Compensation Pool % Increase for FY23









 $\sqrt[n]{}$ 

 $\mathbf{x}$ 

Middlebury

Middlebury

Ŷ

C

 $\mathbf{O}$ 

ŵ

ŵ

G

Note: Based on publicly available information at least 3-6 months old and reflects independent decisions made by individual institutions. Data does not reflect specific details of how allocations were distributed or future plans. Percentages do not reflect other potential compensation activity taken, including bonuses or flat dollar increases.

 $\sim$ 

\$

 $\overleftrightarrow$ 

0

R

0

æ

S

べ

4

1

Staff



## WHAT HAPPENS NEXT YEAR?

Middlebury

- X We're not done working on compensation...but we will continue to use the approach we rolled out in July and move to steady state
- X The approach and structure will remain mostly the same
- X We will adjust and improve on the margins, as we would with any new program, including:
  - Review of market—explore options and determine if/how any adjustments will be made to the defined market
  - Review/budget for shift in 'leading' skill matrix placement to move from 60% to goal of 75% in range
  - Update all job descriptions for roles in staff compensation structure and adjust specific role market matching as needed
  - Further define criteria, build guidance and develop comfort with skill matrix
  - Refine and improve process—ensure consistent approach by area and more opportunities for supervisor engagement

November	January	March	Мау	> JULY
Kick off job description update	Complete job description update	Updated market data available	Market adjustments determined	New compensation details communicated
project	project	Decisions on	Budget finalized	to individual
Review and analyze	New job description	market parameter	budget matized	employees in June and effective July 1
options related to narket parameters	library available	adjustments	By end of May, all input gathered from	
	Outreach and	Prep for launch of	leaders for skill	
Share details on	training with	skill matrix and	matrix placement and	
process and	leaders on skill	discretion input	discretion	
pproach	matrix placement	process in mid- April		

# A FEW IMPORTANT POINTS...





## THERE ARE A FEW IMPORTANT POINTS TO MAKE CLEAR...

- X We have committed to a market-informed approach to staff compensation and will continue to use and refine this structure
- X We are not able to share specific market match data by individual role or employee we do not have the capacity to share and engage at this level of specificity across all our roles
- All job descriptions will be publicly available, with grades listed, when we launch the new job description library early in 2023 (after job description update project is complete end of Jan 2023)
  - The market we have defined is *not* currently NESCAC schools or a small group of other peers—we have shared the market in previous materials and are committed to review the market and determine if we can/will adjust this year or in future



# HOW DO I MAKE MORE MONEY OVER TIME?



Middlebury

18



# LET'S REVISIT OUR MAPLE SUGARING EXAMPLE...



## PREVIOUS SET OF EXAMPLE ROLES AND STEPS

Job	Step 1: Role	Step 2: Individual Skill Matrix	Rate after Step 1 and Step 2	Step 3: Discretion	Final rate
Dean of (Maple) Sugaring	Grade 7	Growing	\$68,400	\$2500	\$70,900
Supervisor, Mud Season Sap Collection	Grade 6	Thriving	\$66,100	\$500	\$66,600
Coordinator, Sugar on Snow Events	Grade 4	Learning	\$40,000	\$0	\$40,000
Coordinator, Hiking in Big Sur	Grade 4C	Thriving	\$53,900	\$1,000	\$54,900



Note: Dean, Supervisor and Coordinator, Sugar on Snow examples use 2080 salary basis, Coordinator, Hiking in Big Sur use 1950 salary basis. These are examples only.

## STAY IN ROLE, STEADY PERFORMANCE RE: SKILL MATRIX

#### **STAY IN ROLE**

Continue to deliver as outlined

No significant movement in ownership or impact

No change to placement, market djustment if applicable

Middleburv

Vermont Hourly Rates							
(based on 2,080 hours per year)							
	Learning	Growing	Thriving	Leading			
Grade	(0%)	(25%)	(50%)	(60%)			
13	\$170,706	\$194,178	\$217,651	\$227,040			
12	\$142,210	\$161,756	\$181,303	\$189,122			
11	\$118,498	\$134,800	\$151,102	\$157,622			
10	\$98,696	\$112,273	\$125,850	\$131,281			
9	\$82,306	\$93,631	\$104,957	\$109,487			
0	¢60.000	¢70.055	107 102	¢00.000			
7	\$60,798	\$68,401	\$76,003	\$79,044			
0 252, 200,							
5	\$46,010	\$51,756	\$57,502	\$59,800			
4	\$39,998	\$45,001	\$50,003	\$52,004			
3	\$37,440	\$41,652	\$45,864	\$47,549			
2	\$35,360	\$39,338	\$43,316	\$44,907			
1	\$35,360	\$39,338	\$43,316	\$44,907			

FY23: grade 7, growing = \$68,400 + \$2,500 (discretion) = \$70,900

FY24 = overall market shifts by 5% so all rates move up 5%

Growing = \$68,400 x 1.05 = \$71,800

FY24: grade 7, growing = \$71,800 + \$2,000 (discretion) = \$73,800

Represents just over 3% increase to salary

#### BUILD SKILLS IN ROLE, MOVE UP IN SKILL MATRIX FY23: grade 7, growing = **BUILD SKILLS IN ROLE** \$68,400 + \$2,500 (discretion) = \$70,900 **Vermont Hourly Rates** (based on 2,080 hours per year) Within role, increase FY24 = overall market shifts by Leading Learning Growing Thriving level of ownership and Grade (0%) (25%) (50%) (60%) 5% so all rates move up 5% 13 \$170,706 \$194,178 \$217,651 \$227,040 impact 12 \$142,210 \$161,756 \$181,303 \$189,122 11 \$118,498 \$151.102 \$157,622 \$134.800 Growing = \$68,400 x 1.05 = 10 \$112,273 \$125,850 \$131,281 \$98.696 9 \$82.306 \$93.631 \$104.957 \$109.487 \$71.800 ¢c0.000 Thriving = \$76,000 x 1.05 = \$60,798 \$76,003 7 \$68,401 \$79,044 \$79.800 \$51,756 \$57,502 \$59,800 \$46,010 \$52,004 \$39.998 \$45.001 \$50.003 \$47,549 \$37,440 \$41.652 \$45.864 FY24: grade 7, thriving = \$79,800 \$39,338 \$43,316 \$44,907 \$35,360 \$35,360 \$39,338 \$43.316 \$44,907 + \$0 (discretion) = \$79,800 Move within skill matrix, Represents approximately 12.5% increase to salary Middleburv

## EXPAND SCOPE/ROLE, SO ADJUST GRADE & SKILL MATRIX\*\*

#### **EXPAND SCOPE/ROLE**

Scope and/or role has changed from existing role

Promotion to new role requires budget approval



New role requires new benchmark and skill matrix assessment

Middleburv

Vermont Hourly Rates (based on 2,080 hours per year)						
Grade	Learning (0%)	Growing (25%)	Thriving (50%)	Leading (60%)		
13	\$170,706	\$194,178	\$217,651	\$227,040		
12	\$142,210	\$161,756	\$181,303	\$189,122		
11	\$118,498	\$134,800	\$151,102	\$157,622		
10	\$98,696	\$112,273	\$125,850	\$131,281		
	400 20C	<u>too</u>		6100 H		
8	\$69,909	\$78,655	\$87,402	\$90,900		
7	\$60,798	\$68,401	\$76,003	\$79,044		
	252100					
5	\$46,010	\$51,756	\$57,502	\$59,800		
4	\$39,998	\$45,001	\$50,003	\$52,004		
3	\$37,440	\$41,652	\$45,864	\$47,549		
2	\$35,360	\$39,338	\$43,316	\$44,907		
1	\$35,360	\$39,338	\$43,316	\$44,907		

FY23: grade 7, growing = \$68,400 + \$2,500 (discretion) = \$70,900

FY24 = overall market shifts by 5% so all rates move up 5%

Role shifts from Dean of Sugaring to Dean of Sugaring & Winter Adventure and is now grade 8, placed at growing in skill matrix

FY24: grade 8, growing = \$78,600 x 1.05 = \$82,500

Represents approximately 16% increase to salary

\*\* Promotions are reviewed twice a year for January and July implementation

## WHAT DOES THE DEAN OF SUGARING EXAMPLE SHOW?

X There are various options for increases to compensation in FY24, depending on the specific situation of the market, skill matrix placement and discretion.

From the example, hopefully it becomes more clear to see that...

Middlebury

- X Each year, we plan to update the overall ranges based on the market and anticipate shifting at an overall, aggregate level—which will mean that if the labor market increase 5% in a given year, the ranges would shift up 5%
- imes This will cascade to all and be applied to the adjusted rate at the ROLE step
- X Then, on top of this, individuals will be eligible for adjustment in skill matrix placement and/or discretion up to the maximum of the range
- Promotions or new roles will result in moving through the process from the start

## LOOKING AT IT FROM OUR OVERALL MODEL

# The market will be reviewed, this can result in the three changes:

1) All ranges adjusted to market and everyone in range receives a market increase

2) A limited number of positions may have market movement well beyond the general market resulting in a grade change and an additional market increase

3) No change to overall market and ranges remain the same

Functional leaders, managers, supervisors will have input on the career progression of each staff member which may result in additional changes:

4) If someone moves up in the skill matrix, there will be an additional increase in pay

# DISCRETION

If the budget can support it, senior leaders will be given a discretionary allotment which may result in additional changes: 5) Discretionary adjustments

MARKET INCREASES IMPACT ALL STAFF WITHIN THE UPDATED RANGES, OTHER INCREASES ARE SPECIFIC TO INDIVIDUAL AND MAY NOT OCCUR EVERY YEAR



## MORE DETAILS

#### EXPLORE OUR FRAMEWORK.

Take a deeper dive into each step of our compensation program.

Individual

#### Role

liddleburv

Each role is placed into a grade based on market benchmarking and internal review. This sets the min/max range for a role.

#### The skill matrix is a tool to place individuals into the range based on career progression.

#### Discretion

When funds are available, department leadership can distribute supplemental increases.

To learn more about how this program was developed, you can see materials that were shared with our community leading up to the rollout here.

Visit the <u>Staff</u> <u>Compensation</u> section of the HR website for more information including ranges, skill matrix tables, previous presentations and FAQs...

...and we'll be back in January 2023 with another update!

